```
1
 1
 2
    UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 3
    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 4
    Case No. 12-12020-mg
 5
 6
    In the Matter of:
 7
 8
    RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,
 9
10
                 Debtors.
11
12
13
14
                 United States Bankruptcy Court
15
                 One Bowling Green
16
                 New York, New York
17
18
                 April 19, 2017
19
                 2:07 PM
20
21
   BEFORE:
22
   HON. MARTIN GLENN
23
   U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24
25
                    eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
```

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

```
1
 2
    (CC: Doc# 10273) Pre-trial Conference in Connection with the
    Claim(s) of Alan Moss. Pre-trial Conference set for 4/19/17 at
 3
    2:00 pm. Trial set for 05/08/2017 at 9:00 am.
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
    Transcribed by: Hana Copperman
21
    eScribers, LLC
    352 Seventh Avenue, Suite #604
22
23
   New York, NY 10001
24
   (973)406-2250
25
    operations@escribers.net
```

```
1
 2
    APPEARANCES:
    MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 3
 4
          Attorneys for the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust
 5
          250 West 55th Street
 6
          New York, NY 10019
 7
 8
    BY:
          JESSICA JEAN ARETT, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
 9
          NORMAN ROSENBAUM, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
10
11
12
    ALAN MOSS (TELEPHONICALLY)
13
   PARTY PRO SE
         P.O. Box 721
14
15
         Moss Beach, CA 94038
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                    eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
```

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right. We're on the record in Residential Capital, 12-12020. This is in connection with the contested claim of Alan Moss.

Can I have the appearances on the phone, please?

6 MS. ARETT: Jessica Arett and Norm Rosenbaum from

Morrison & Foerster on behalf of the Trust.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOSS: Alan Moss, the claimant.

THE COURT: All right. I have the proposed pre-trial order in front of me.

Mr. Arett, do you want to -- anything you wanted to raise today?

MS. ARETT: I think, really, the only thing is the Trust did make some objections to some of Mr. Moss' exhibits on grounds that we didn't receive them during discovery. On Saturday, Mr. Moss provided some of those documents, but not all, to the Trust. So we're continuing to have our objection just on grounds that they weren't produced during discovery, but also now there are some additional objections, now that we've seen some of the documents on relevance grounds.

THE COURT: Mr. Moss, why didn't you provide the documents during discovery?

MR. MOSS: They were -- as the Court may recall, I was ordered to amend my responses to their discovery requests,

which I did. And then there were relevant documents. I said I would produce them. And in all candor, I guess I forgot to send it -- them to them, but I did not hear from them saying where are the documents. There's no mutual date to exchange them or anything else.

When I realized that, a few days ago, which was the end of last week, after reviewing the proposed pre-trial order, I immediately (inaudible) those documents and sent them to them. And most of which they had already seen, I believe.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm having a little trouble.

If you're on the speakerphone, Mr. Moss, could you pick up the phone, because you're not coming through clearly. Okay? Go ahead.

MR. MOSS: I'm sorry. Is that better?

THE COURT: What's the nature of the documents that you just recently produced to the Trust?

MR. MOSS: They were -- there were about fifty pages,

I believe, and they were -- I can specifically go through them,

but they were -- I'm sorry -- they were copies of

correspondence that are listed in my exhibit list, but which

are letters I sent to GMAC, so they should have already had

those.

In addition to that, there is a declaration which I did not have, which was a notary to one of the documents in the chain of title, the documents that had been whited out and each

obliviated, so there's a question about accepted dates. And there were discovery documents that consisted of responses from GMAC in the case below that I had filed, (indiscernible) foreclosure, which I'm quite certain they already had.

There was a declaration that was filed by an employee of ETS and GMAC in the other case below, which was the basis of this claim, where I sued ETS, which I'm quite sure they had.

There is a three-page printout from an online ad for Executive Trustee Services. There are two complaints. There are official court records where GMAC tried to evict me, and actually there was a -- which I previously said there was the declaration from their custodian of the records saying that never happened.

And then, lastly, there was a transcript from an individual by the name of Marge Kwaitanowski that -- who was -- signed one of the assignments in the chain of title that was misdated, and there was a ZIP code that dealt with a transcript from an earlier case where she gave deposition testimony and which the Court connected, but (indiscernible) or so.

That's what I had provided to them.

THE COURT: All right. Let me -- first, are all of the exhibits that you wish to use listed among your exhibits in the pre-trial order?

MR. MOSS: Are you addressing me, Your Honor?

```
1
             THE COURT: Yes, I am.
 2
             MR. MOSS: Oh. And I'm sorry. Your question,
 3
    are -- is everything I just listed to you in my list?
 4
             THE COURT: So I'm looking at pages -- just a second,
    Mr. Moss. I'm looking at pages --
 5
 6
             MR. MOSS: Yeah.
 7
             THE COURT: -- 12, 13, 14, and 15, list a total of
    forty-one exhibits that you've --
 8
 9
             MR. MOSS: Right.
10
             THE COURT: -- listed as exhibits you want to offer.
    Are all of your exhibits listed there?
11
12
             MR. MOSS: They are all listed there. I wanted to
13
    request that one more exhibit be -- I'd be allowed to add, and
14
    that is the decision from the district court in this case.
             THE COURT: Well, if it's a decision that's a public
15
16
    ruling from the court, you can ask me to take judicial notice
17
    of it.
18
             MR. MOSS: All right.
19
             THE COURT: Well, here's -- I just want to -- look.
    I'm looking at the pre-trial order. I see your list of
20
21
    exhibits, proposed list of exhibits. I see the Trust's
22
    objections. Some of the ones -- some of the exhibits that they
    object to on the grounds that they weren't produced during
23
24
    discovery may well be objectionable on other grounds. You
```

know, for example, I don't know. I'm not ruling on that now.

25

1 MR. MOSS: All right.

THE COURT: So for example, you got a lot of declarations listed. I don't know for what purpose you're offering them, but anybody whose evidence you're offering in my trial has to show up in court and testify and be crossexamined. So I'm just -- I don't know what the relevance of some of these people are.

See, for example, number 18, declaration of R.A.

Salazar; 19, declaration of Carol Bonello. They're not, you know, you're not introducing declarations in evidence unless the witness is here to be cross-examined at trial. So I don't want --

So Ms. Arett --

MR. MOSS: Even if -- I'm sorry. Even if they -- this was a public document that was filed in a court case?

THE COURT: Sure. Absolutely. This is evidence that might -- at trial in my court. Evidence has to be competent. Witnesses have to be available for cross-examination. These are recently disclosed documents, sworn declarations. These were not people whose depositions you took.

If someone was outside of the subpoena power of the Court during the discovery period, which is long closed now, you could have taken their depositions. You could have negotiated an agreement with the Trust that might have permitted you to use declarations and give them an opportunity

to cross-examine.

But you're a lawyer. You don't get to -- just because somebody signed a declaration under oath, and it was used in evidence in another case in California, doesn't mean that it's admissible here. So I'm just -- I'm not ruling on them. I'm just looking at your list, and I just see that some of the things -- and I'm not -- you shouldn't think, by the fact that I'm not raising everything as to which the Trust has raised an objection, that it's going to come in. Just be aware that if the Trust objects to the admissibility of a declaration that was admitted in evidence in another case, it's probably not coming in here. So I don't know for what purpose, and I don't want to know today, for what purpose you're offering it, but just don't be surprised. That's the Rules of Evidence and the Rule of Civil Procedure about witnesses at trial.

Let me ask -- well, Ms. Arett, are there other issues you want to raise today?

MS. ARETT: No, not at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not -- put it this way. At this stage I'm not going to preclude the exhibits because they've been produced now. You may well have other objections, and I'm not ruling on any other objections and don't expect you to raise those other objections now. I just want -- I raised -- when I saw the declarations, that's why I raised them.

The one thing this pre-trial order doesn't address is 1 2 damages. Mr. Moss, what damages are you seeking to recover? MR. MOSS: I am seeking to recover attorneys' fees, 3 4 and now that the settlement release in the case below has been made public, there is a discrep -- there is a revaluation of 5 6 the money on the note and emotional -- and the associated costs 7 and then emotional damage -- emotional distress damages. THE COURT: Ms. Arett, did you take discovery on 8 9 damages? 10 MS. ARETT: We asked him for any sort of documentation regarding his damages, specifically, attorneys' fees. We 11 haven't received anything in regards to that, but we've asked. 12 THE COURT: Well, if you haven't produced -- if you're 13 seeking damages for attorneys' fees, and you haven't 14 listed -- you haven't put in any -- I didn't see any -- I 15 didn't see anything on the exhibit list, you know, invoices 16 17 from attorneys or anything like that. 18 You're not springing on the other side exhibits. whole point of this pre-trial order is the only exhibits that 19 20 come into evidence are things that have been listed. And I saw 21 the absence of any evidence of any exhibits that seem to bear 22 on damages. Just be aware of that. 23 How much in attorneys' fees are you seeking to recover, Mr. Moss? 24 25 MR. MOSS: Well, I -- excuse me. I -- let me just

```
find the number. I produced to them -- it is the
 1
 2
    (indiscernible), including attorneys' fees that I filed in the
    case of myself v. ETS; it has the amount of attorneys' fees,
 3
 4
    which they have received.
 5
             THE COURT: Can you tell me how much in attorneys'
 6
    fees you're seeking to recover?
 7
             MR. MOSS: That document was fixed -- the assigned
 8
    dollar -- somewhere between 15 and 17,000 dollars.
 9
             THE COURT: And that's an amount -- that's the amounts
10
    that you paid?
             MR. MOSS: I paid part of that. I paid about half of
11
12
    that.
13
             THE COURT: Is that an amount that --
14
             MR. MOSS: The other part is subject --
15
             THE COURT: -- a lawyer invoiced you for?
16
             MR. MOSS: That is correct.
17
             THE COURT: And I didn't see any exhibits listed that
    show the billing statements from lawyers.
18
19
             MR. MOSS: I didn't list that. I chose, instead, to
    use this statement of damages that's an official court document
20
21
    here in that case.
22
             THE COURT: Well, what you've -- that's not evidence
23
    in a trial. You've got to put in evidence. I'm going to
24
    decide this case based on the evidence and the arguments, the
25
    legal arguments. But competent evidence is not a statement
```

that you filed that set forth what you think your damages are. 1 That is notice to the other side of what you're seeking to 2 recover. That's certainly appropriate for discovery. But 3 4 that's why I looked at this list of exhibits, and I didn't see 5 anything that bears on damages. 6 Now, if you're entitled to emotional distress damages, 7 which I haven't ruled on, and I'm not ruling on now, it may be that there are no invoices that back that up, but to the extent 8 you're seeking to recover attorneys' fees, if your attorneys 9 10 invoiced you for services, whether you paid part of that or not, those invoices would likely be admissible in evidence, but 11 12 I didn't see any of that. 13 Ms. Arett, did you get documents supporting Mr. Moss's 14 damage claim? MS. ARETT: We have not received any documents 15 16 supporting any of his damages. 17 THE COURT: You know, Mr. Moss, you're going to be pretty disappointed when you show up for trial and you can't 18 19 prove damages. But that's -- I've made clear all along. Trials involve evidence that's offered during the trial. 20 21 The pre-trial order made crystal clear that any 22 exhibits that are not listed -- if an exhibit's not listed, it's not coming into evidence. 23 24 You've listed some that Ms. Arett has objected to,

because they weren't produced in discovery. I'll consider her

25

```
objections to them at the time of trial, but the exhibits, at
 1
 2
    least, are listed there, and you say you've produced them now.
             What other categories of damages are you seeking to
 3
 4
    recover, Mr. Moss?
             MR. MOSS: (Indiscernible).
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Mr. Moss, are you there?
             MR. MOSS: That's -- I'm here. Yes. That is it.
 7
 8
    I've listed them all.
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. The pre-trial order
 9
10
    provides a schedule for providing the Court with the exhibits,
11
    May 1st. Trial's going to take place on May 8th.
12
             I start a trial next Monday, which is supposed to last
13
    two weeks. What I contemplate is if the trial isn't done, I'll
14
    go ahead with your trial, because it's a one-day scheduled
15
    trial. You're coming from California. We'll go ahead on May
    8th, even if I have to interrupt the evidence in the other
16
    trial if it's not completed. So we're going to go forward on
17
18
    schedule.
19
             And, Ms. Arett, any other issues you wish to raise?
             MS. ARETT: Not at this time, Your Honor.
20
21
             THE COURT: All right. I'm going to -- I'm inquiring
22
    of my clerks. Do we have a Word version of it?
23
             Okay. We're going to enter the pre-trial order today.
24
    I'm going to sign and enter it. And I will see you all for
25
    trial. Is there any effort still underway to try and settle
```

```
1
    the matter?
 2
             Mr. Moss?
             MR. MOSS: No, there hasn't been any effort.
 3
 4
             THE COURT: Ms. Arett, has there been any effort?
             MS. ARETT: We haven't made any effort recently, Your
 5
 6
    Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: I want the parties to -- let me -- hang on
 8
    a second.
             On or before Friday, April 28th -- on or before 5 p.m.
 9
10
    New York time April 28th, I direct the parties to confer -- and
    you can do it by telephone, since Mr. Moss is in California --
11
12
    on the subject of settlement. If you're able to settle it,
13
    please advise me promptly. Okay?
14
             MS. ARETT: Well, then -- Your Honor, you said April
    28th or April 21st?
15
             THE COURT: No, I said the 28th.
16
17
             MS. ARETT: 28th. Okay. All right.
             THE COURT: Yes. I'm going to give you until the end
18
19
    of next week.
20
             MS. ARETT: Okay.
21
             THE COURT: And notify -- you can do it sooner, but
    I'm just trying to give a deadline that leaves some time for
22
    you to talk to each other. Hopefully you can talk more than
23
24
    once.
25
             Okay? So we'll enter the pre-trial order. All right?
```

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. MS. ARETT: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MOSS: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you very much. We're adjourned. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 2:26 PM)

CERTIFICATION I, Hana Copperman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Hana Copperman Hana Copperman (CET-487) AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber eScribers 352 Seventh Ave., Suite #607 New York, NY 10001 Date: April 20, 2017